UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,168	04/26/2006	Michel Fauconet	FR-AM 1987NP	1103
31684 7590 04/07/2009 ARKEMA INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT - 26TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			NAZARIO GONZALEZ, PORFIRIO	
2000 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3222			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/577,168	FAUCONET ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PORFIRIO NAZARIO GONZALEZ	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sI Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re to the state of	CATION.  Seply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 9 December 2008</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ <sup>-</sup>	This action is non-final.					
, <del></del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 2-23 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 2-23 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document of the</li></ul>	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<b></b>					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of the Claims

Claims 2-23 are now pending. Claim 1 was canceled by Applicants' amendment filed April 26, 2006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "previously presented" in line 1 is not clear as to where the method as claimed was previously presented. Please clarify.

# Response to Amendment/Arguments

Applicants have amended claim 17 to include the phrase "and without the introduction of external gas" as a proviso when referring to the separation column (C2). Applicants argued that said proviso distinguishes the instant claims from Hirao '648 (EP1125912, equivalent to US 6713648) in view of Dockner '865 (EP784046, equivalent to US 5817865). Here Applicants argued that Dockner '865 requires the feed of an external inert gas in the stripping column as a countercurrent to the acrylic acid/solvent stream feed to the top of the column. See Figure 2, particularly column K20. Furthermore, Applicants argued that Hirao '648 "employs a "standard" distillation column" which employees a condenser and reflux and the feed of the acrylic acid mixture is not at the top of the column. See Figure 2. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

First, the Examiner pointed out when making the 103(a) rejection of the instant claims that Hirao '648 was deficient with respect to the rectification column without reflux and with the introduction of the products to be distilled at the top. Here, Hirao '648 uses a standard

Application/Control Number: 10/577,168 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

distillation column with reflux as Applicants have pointed out. However, Dockner '865 was brought to cure Hirao '648 deficiency. Here, Dockner '865 teaches the use of a desorption (stripping) column with no reflux having a stripping gas as a countercurrent the acrylic acid/solvent steam feed at the top of the column.

Second, In column 4, lines 14-40, particularly lines 22-26, Dockner '865 teaches the use of any inert gas or gas mixture, with preference given to using a gas mixture of air and nitrogen or recycle gas as the stripping gas. Here, Applicants are correct in that the external source of inert gas added to the stripping column is a mixture of air and nitrogen, see Figure 2. However, Applicants did not mention that another source of stripping gas could be recycling gas. Here the Examiner reads recycle gas as gas that have been in the reaction system (internal gas) and that is not introduce to the stripping column by external means. Therefore, one skilled in the art would modify Hirao'648 standard distillation column with Dockner'865 stripping column having a recycle gas as the countercurrent to the acrylic acid/solvent stream feed at the top of the column with the reasonable expectation of purifying (meth)acrylic acid. Thus, the rejection of claims 2-23 stand as being obvious over Hirao '648 in view of Dockner '865.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PORFIRIO NAZARIO GONZALEZ whose telephone number is (571)272-0641. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 A.M.-6:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel M. Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,168 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PORFIRIO NAZARIO GONZALEZ/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

PNG March 31, 2009